UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,966	07/30/2003	Gary E. Sullivan	P1468US01	9544
32709 GATEWAY, IN	7590 10/27/200 NC .		EXAMINER	
ATTN: PATEN	IT ATTORNEY		STOKELY-COLLINS, JASMINE N	
610 GATEWAY DRIVE N. SIOUX CITY, SD 57049			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2423	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on 10/6/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that DeCarmo does not teach incompatible parental control schemes. The examiner disagrees; Separate, incompatible ratings systems would be deducted by one of ordinary skill in the art from in fig. 2. Fig. 2 shows input streams to the Ratings Manager comprise digital cable, DSS, and HDTV which use TV parental Guidelines (TV-Y, TV-Y7, TV-PG...TV-14...TV-MA based on violence, language, sexual content, dialogue, and fantasy violence) as a standard ratings system for television programming in the United States, and DVDs will typically use Motion Picture Association of America (G, PG, N-17...M) as a standard rating system for motion pictures. These parental rating systems do not have definitive equivalences for each rating within each other, and therefore are incompatible. The ratings manager separately "queries each input stream" and "sets the parental control defaults for all input streams based upon the capabilities queried" (col. 7 II. 45-51).

/Andrew Y Koenig/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2423

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/629,966	SULLIVAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	JASIMINE STORELT-COLLINS	2423	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the content of the period	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reig	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	sorrosponding number of finally roje	otod oldiirio.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpilant / tinonamont (i	1 102 02-7.
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii dabiiiited iii a deparate, i	aniery med amendmen	it dandeling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	P10/56/08) Paper No(s)		
/Andrew Y Koenig/	/Jasmine Stokely-Collir	ns/	
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2423	Examiner, Art Unit 2423		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments do not overcome the rejection set forth in the previous office action. See attached sheet..